

## Effect of bio-fertilizers and N-levels on yield and other agronomic traits of some corn hybrids under Assiut conditions, Egypt

Abdel-Hamed W. M.<sup>a</sup>, Abdel-Haleem S. H. M.<sup>b\*</sup>, Ahmad M. S.<sup>b</sup>, El-Said M. A. A.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Shandawil Station, Agricultural Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture and land reclamation, Sohag, Egypt

<sup>b</sup>Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt

### Abstract

This investigation was carried out at the Agricultural Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agricultural, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt. Two field experiments were conducted during 2020 and 2021 summer seasons to study the effect of inoculation by bio-fertilizers in order to reducing N fertilization rates of some maize hybrids grown under Assiut governorate conditions. Each experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in split –split plots design with three replications. The obtained results showed that the plant height, height of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear, ear length, number of rows/ear and grain yield (ton/feddan) (feddan = 4200 m<sup>2</sup> = 0.420 hectares = 1.037 acres) were significantly affected by the maize hybrids, bio-inoculation and N-fertilizer rates, except ear length was not affected by the maize hybrids in both seasons. Results indicated that. Hybrids and bio-fertilizers (H × bio) interaction was significantly difference for all studied traits in both seasons, except for plant height and height of the 1st ear in both seasons. Hybrids and N-fertilizer rates (H × N) interaction was significantly difference for all studied traits in both seasons, except for plant height in both seasons and ear length in only 2020 season. Bio-fertilizers and N-fertilizer rates (Bio × N) interaction was significantly difference for all studied traits in both seasons, except for both plant height and ear height in both seasons and ear length in only 2021 season. Moreover, the results indicated interaction among hybrid type, inoculation with bio-fertilizers and applied nitrogen fertilizer rates (H × Bio × N) was non-significant for most studied traits except for number of rows/ear and grain yield in both seasons.

**Keywords:** bio-fertilizers, hybrids, corn, phosphorus, potassium fertilizers.

\*Corresponding author: Abdel-Haleem S. H. M.,  
E-mail address: [saeidabdelhaleem@gmail.com](mailto:saeidabdelhaleem@gmail.com)

## 1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important and necessary cereal crops in the world which used as food for human and feed for animal. Maize is the third plant in crop production after wheat and rice (Majnoon, 2006). USDA reported that in February 2023, 201.12 and 0.93 million hectares of land were covered by maize and produced 1,151.36 and 7.44 million metric tons with yield of 5.72 and 8.00 metric tons per hectare of maize grain in the world and Egypt, respectively. There is a gap between production and consumption of maize in Egypt estimated by around 45%. This gap is compensated by importation, which put a burden on the country's budget. While average imports reached 9.58 million tons representing 58.88% of total local consumption for the same period. Stats reflect the critical role maize food security plays, as Egypt imports around 59% of local consumption (Shady *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, any attempts for raising maize production are considered a matter of utmost importance. Bio-fertilizers are produced from microorganisms and used as a vaccine. These bio-fertilizers could be considered the most reliable tools to reduce the rate of chemical fertilizers applied for all crops and all types of soil, and hence decreasing environmental pollution. It used as an alternative to the use of mineral fertilizers, which have an impact on environmental pollution. Therefore, the research was

conducted to study the effect of bio-fertilizers in reducing N fertilization rates of some maize hybrids. Nitrogen fertilizer is an important factor in increasing growth, yield and yield components of maize crop. Growth stages of maize plant is influenced by nitrogen amounts and by its increasing which sequentially increased the dry matter accumulation in corn (Alizadeh *et al.*, 2007). Many investigators reported that growth attributes and grain yield were positively affected by increasing N-fertilizer rate. El-Nagar (2003) reported that mineral nitrogen up to 130 kg N/feddan, to significant increase in plant weight, ear height, ear length, seed index, number of rows/ear, number of grains /row and grain yield/feddan, compared with other nitrogen rates (60 and 100 kg N/feddan). Moreover, due to the recent increase in fertilizer prices, in addition to the environmental pollution resulting from the addition of nitrogenous fertilizers, researcher aimed to provide alternative methods for chemical fertilizers, which is to rely on biological and organic fertilizers, sustainable management and the inoculation with N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria are the alternatives to reduce fertilization cost and the environmental impacts with N fertilizers. Furthermore, the aim target of this investigation was to study the effect of some biofertilizers, various levels of nitrogen, and their interactions on growth, yield and quality traits of some corn hybrids under Assiut conditions, Egypt.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Experimental site and treatments description

Two field experiments were carried out during the summer seasons of 2020 and 2021 at the Agricultural Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt. Field experiments were designed in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in split –split plots design with three replications. Since, yellow maize hybrids (Giza-SC168 (H1), High Tec-SC2066 (H2) and Pioneer-SC3062 (H3) were placed in the main plots. While five levels of bio-fertilizers (without biofertilizers (Bio-0)., Biogen (Bio-1), Cerialein (Bio-2), Microben (Bio-3) and Nitroben (Bio-4) and three levels of nitrogen fertilizer (80 Kg N/feddan (N1), 100 Kg N/feddan (N2) and 120 Kg

N/feddan (N3) were distributed randomly in the sub and sub-sub plots, respectively. Each sub-sub plot consisted of five ridges with 3.5 meter length and 70 cm width ( $10.5 \text{ m}^2$ ). The physical and chemical analyses of experimental soil used during the two seasons (2020 and 2021) were demonstrated in Table (1). Agronomic practices were followed as usually done for the maize crop in the Assiut region. Phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were applied prior to land preparation at the rate of 30 kg  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ /feddan and 24 kg  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  in the form of super phosphate (15.5%  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ) and potassium sulfate (48%  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ ), respectively. Seeds of maize were sown on the 8th of June and harvested on the 6th of October in both seasons, respectively. Floating irrigation was followed in both seasons. The average temperatures were recorded during two experimental seasons (Table 2).

Table (1): Some physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental soils.

Character	2020	2021
Clay	49.60	49.40
Silt	24.20	24.10
Sand	26.20	26.50
Texture	Clay	Clay
pH (1:2.5)	7.50	7.74
ECe ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ )	0.40	0.68
$\text{CaCO}_3$ (%)	2.36	2.66
Cations ( $\text{meq } 100 \text{ g soil}^{-1}$ )		
$\text{Ca}^{2+}$	0.53	0.71
$\text{Mg}^{2+}$	0.00	0.07
$\text{Na}^+$	0.75	0.94
$\text{K}^+$	0.11	0.08
Anions ( $\text{meq } 100 \text{ g soil}^{-1}$ )		
$\text{Cl}^-$	0.59	0.61
$\text{HCO}_3^-$	0.33	0.29
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	0.40	0.51
Available N (ppm)	20.0	22.50
Available P (ppm)	0.20	0.31
Available K (ppm)	0.20	0.51

Table (2): Monthly temperature at Assiut during 2020 and 2021 maize grown seasons.

Season	2020			2021		
Temperature Month	Maximum °C	Minimum °C	Mean °C	Maximum °C	Minimum °C	Mean °C
May	38.10	22.0	30.00	35.50	19.50	27.50
June	39.00	24.90	31.95	38.60	22.50	30.55
July	38.90	25.20	31.95	38.50	23.90	31.20
August	38.90	25.00	31.50	38.40	24.00	31.20
September	35.40	22.20	28.80	37.80	23.30	30.55
October	33.60	19.30	26.45	34.60	20.50	27.55

## 2.2 Data recorded and studied traits

Maize individuals' plants from 1 m<sup>2</sup> were chosen at random from the middle of each plot in the two seasons, while the following data of traits were recorded:

### A. Vegetative growth traits:

- Plant height (cm): It measured from the soil surface to the base of the tassel of ten plants randomly taken from each plot.
- Height of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear (cm): calculated from the soil surface to the base of the upper node – bearing ear of ten plants randomly taken from each plot.

### B. Yield traits:

- Ear length (cm): It computed from the base to the tip of the ear and recorded in centimeters at the time of harvesting of ten plants randomly taken from each plot and averaged as ear length.
- Number of rows /ear: Number of rows per ear of ten plants randomly taken from each plot were counted and averaged as number of rows/ear.

- Grain yield (ton/feddan): It was measured by multiplying of grain weight of the harvested one m<sup>2</sup> by a factor of 10.5 and calculated to ton /feddan.

## 2.3 Analytical procedures

### 2.3.1 Soil analysis

The air-dried soil samples were ground and sieved through a 2 mm pore sieve. Soil texture was determined using the pipette method. The physical and chemical analyses of experimental soil used during the two seasons (2020 and 2021) were determined by Soil and Water Lab at Land Laboratory for Scientific Analyzes and Consulting, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Egypt according to Piper (1950), Jackson (1973), and Klute (1986).

### 2.3.2 Statistical analysis

All obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis of variance and treatment means were compared for significant differences using the LSD at *p*

= 0.05. The MSTAT-C computer program was used to perform all the analysis of variance with the procedure outlined by Steel and Torrie (1997).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Vegetative growth traits

##### 3.1.1 Plant height at harvest (cm)

The demonstrated data in Table (3) stated that main values of plant height significantly affected by hybrids, inoculation with bio-fertilizers and applied mineral N-fertilizer rates in both seasons. The presented data in Table (3) showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences were observed between the three maize hybrids on the plant height. The H3 (SC 3062) recorded the maximum value of plant height (266.4 and 276.1 cm) while, H1 (SC 168) recorded the minimum value (236.3 and 244.0 cm) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively. Also, H3 (SC 3062) significantly increased plant height by (17.00% and 13.15%) over H1 (SC 168).

The obtained data proved that H3 (SC 3062) gave the highest plant height all over the other hybrids. The variation in plant height might be due to its genetically governed characteristics or through plant densities as well as through environmental conditions. Mamudu *et al.* (2017) found that the tallest plant was observed in Obatanpa maize variety at 10 WAP and 8 WAP during the minor and major seasons, respectively. This observation confirms the work done by Awadalla *et al.* (2018),

Abd El-Maksoud and Sarhan (2008), Hoshang *et al.* (2011), and Baqa *et al.* (2014) have reported similar results. Likely, data show that main values of plant height significantly affected by inoculation with bio-fertilizers. The tallest plant height values were obtained (263.8 and 275.2 cm) due to the inoculation with Microben (Bio-4) while, the minimum plant height values were recorded (242.9 and 250.9 cm) due to the control without bio-fertilizer (Bio-0). Inoculation with Microben bio-fertilizer (Bio-4) significantly increased plant height by (8.60 and 9.68%) over the un-inoculation (Bio-0). Hoshang *et al.* (2011) found that inoculation with Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Azospirillum had the highest plant height (212.4 cm). Concerning, the effect of nitrogen fertilizer rates, results in Table 3 revealed that plant height was significantly increased by increasing nitrogen levels. Application 120 kg/feddan, gave the tallest plant values (266.4 and 276.1). Meanwhile, the minimum values were 236.2 and 244.0 cm. Increasing of mineral N-fertilizer significantly increased plant height by 12.78 and 13.15% in both seasons. The increase in plant height due to increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates may be attributed to the fact that higher levels of nitrogen fertilizer promote vegetative growth in the maize. In this respect, Mamudu *et al.* (2017) found that the tallest plant height was produced with the application rate of 90 kg N/ha and the shortest being the application rate of 0kgN/ha. These results agree with those

obtained by Nawab and Anjum (2017), and Abd- Elhady *et al.* (2017). Regarding the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> order interactions, results in Table (3) indicated that insignificant difference between hybrids and bio-fertilizers ( $H \times Bio$ ), ( $H \times N$ ), ( $Bio \times N$ ), and ( $H \times Bio \times N$ ) in both seasons under the study.

Table (3): Effect of maize hybrids, bio-fertilizers and N-fertilization rates and their interactions on plant height (cm) in the 2020 and 2021 summer seasons.

Hybrid	N-fertilizer rate	Un-inoculated Bio-0	Biogen Bio-1	Cerialin Bio-2	Microben Bio-3	Nitroben Bio-4	Mean
2020 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	217.0	223.7	221.7	228.0	225.3	223.1
	(N2) 100	230.7	243.7	237.7	249.0	246.0	241.4
	(N3) 120	233.3	244.3	243.0	253.7	246.7	244.2
Mean		227.0	237.2	234.1	243.6	239.3	236.3
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	234.3	244.7	244.3	248.7	246.7	243.7
	(N2) 100	250.7	259.3	253.0	271.0	262.7	259.3
	(N3) 120	251.3	262.0	254.7	276.0	266.0	262.0
Mean		245.4	255.3	250.7	265.2	258.5	255.0
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	243.3	252.3	250.6	259.7	256.7	252.5
	(N2) 100	261.0	268.3	265.7	282.3	273.7	270.2
	(N3) 120	264.0	270.3	267.0	306.0	275.3	276.5
Mean		256.1	263.6	261.1	282.7	268.6	266.4
Main effects	(N1) 80	227.0	237.2	234.1	243.6	239.3	236.2
	(N2) 100	245.4	255.3	250.7	265.2	258.5	255.0
	(N3) 120	256.1	263.6	261.1	282.7	268.6	266.4
Mean		242.9	252.1	248.6	263.8	255.5	
2021 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	225.5	231.2	228.4	237.3	233.4	231.2
	(N2) 100	238.0	251.8	245.4	258.1	253.8	249.4
	(N3) 120	240.2	251.6	249.9	262.1	253.6	251.5
Mean		234.6	244.9	241.2	252.5	246.9	244.0
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	242.9	252.8	250.2	259.0	255.9	252.2
	(N2) 100	258.4	267.8	259.7	282.2	270.9	267.8
	(N3) 120	258.5	270.6	262.5	289.8	273.5	271.0
Mean		253.3	263.7	257.5	277.0	266.8	263.7
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	252.5	261.6	256.5	271.8	266.9	261.9
	(N2) 100	269.5	278.0	273.3	295.7	283.5	280.0
	(N3) 120	272.7	279.2	275.2	320.2	285.0	286.5
Mean		264.9	272.9	268.3	295.9	278.5	276.1
Main effects	(N1) 80	234.6	244.9	241.2	252.5	246.9	244.0
	(N2) 100	253.3	263.7	257.5	277.0	266.8	263.7
	(N3) 120	264.9	272.9	268.3	295.9	278.5	276.1
Mean		250.9	260.5	255.7	275.2	264.1	
L.S.D at $p=0.05$		2020			2021		
A(Hybrids.)		3.98			6.18		
B (Bio-fert.)		8.03			10.45		
AB		n.s			n.s		
C (Nitrogen)		5.41			5.63		
AC		n.s			n.s		
BC		n.s			n.s		
ABC		n.s			n.s		

### 3.1.2 Height of the 1st ear (cm)

The presented data in Table (4) showed that height of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) affected by maize hybrids in both seasons. The H1 (SC 168) recoded the maximum value of the height of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear (112.4 and 118.2 cm) while, H2 (SC 2066) recorded the minimum values (109.0 and 114.5 cm) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Also, obtained results shown that values of the height of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear of SC 3062 hybrid (H3) was intermediate between those (H1 and H2) in both seasons. Similar results were in agreement with Karasui (2012) who found that the first ear heights of maize cultivars were significantly different, and the highest value (144.1 cm) was obtained from LG 2687 cultivar. The first ear heights of the other two cultivars were low and similar. The obtained data in Table (4) showed significant ( $P<0.05$ ) differences were observed for the inoculation with bio-fertilizers on the heights of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear. The maximum values of the height of 1<sup>st</sup> ear (115.7 and 120.5 cm) were obtained due to the inoculation of Microben (Bio-4) while, the minimum plant height values (106.4 and 112.3 cm) were due to the control (without bio-fertilizer) in Bio-0. The increasing percentage of height of 1<sup>st</sup> ear due to Microben inoculation reached (8.74 and 7.30%) in comparison to the uninoculation in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. This might be attributed to that ear are basically affected by the genetic makeup of the plant and the growing conditions. The research findings

are coordinated with Li *et al.* (2018) and Wei *et al.* (2018). Concerning, the effect of nitrogen fertilizer rates, results in Table (4), revealed that heights of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear were significantly affected by nitrogen levels. The maximum values heights of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear were recorded at N1 (80 kg /feddan) rate in both seasons. The increase in heights of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear due to different nitrogen fertilizer rates may be explanation that nitrogen as a main constituent of protein and protoplasm, stimulates and increase cell division and elongation. Karasui (2012) found that the first ear height in term of nitrogen levels ranged between 132.3 and 134.7 cm. Similar results were in agreement with Nawab and Anjum (2017). Results recorded in Table (4) indicate that the interaction effect between hybrids and bio-fertilization ( $H \times Bio$ ) on heights of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear was no significant in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Regarding to the interaction effects of ( $H \times N$ ), SC 168 hybrid treated with 120 kg mineral N-fertilizer gave the maximum heights of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear (117.3 and 123.7 cm) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Karasui (2012) found that the interaction effects of cultivar and nitrogen fertilizer on first ear height were also significant, and the highest value (152.8 cm) was produced by the 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and LG 2687 cultivar while the lowest value was produced by 300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 34M43 Pioneer cultivar. Similar results were in agreement with Turgut (1998) and El-Nagar (2003). Regarding the 2<sup>nd</sup> interaction effects of ( $Bio \times N$ ), results indicated that there are no significantly

differences on first ear height between treatments in both seasons. Regarding the 1<sup>st</sup> order interactions ( $H \times Bio$ ) and ( $Bio \times N$ ) and order interaction ( $H \times Bio \times N$ ) had insignificantly effect on first ear height in both seasons.

Table (4): Effect of maize hybrids, bio-fertilizers and N-fertilization rates and their interactions on height of the 1<sup>st</sup> ear (cm) in the 2020 and 2021 summer seasons.

Hybrid	N-fertilizer rate	Un-inoculated Bio-0	Biogen Bio-1	Cerialin Bio-2	Microben Bio-3	Nitroben Bio-4	Mean
2020 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	95.7	104.0	101.7	110.3	108.3	104.0
	(N2) 100	111.7	115.0	113.7	120.7	118.3	115.9
	(N3) 120	112.3	117.0	114.3	123.7	119.0	117.3
	Mean	106.6	112.0	109.9	118.2	115.2	112.4
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	101.0	102.7	102.3	105.3	103.7	103.0
	(N2) 100	105.7	112.0	108.7	115.7	114.3	111.3
	(N3) 120	107.0	113.3	109.3	119.3	114.7	112.7
	Mean	104.6	109.4	106.8	113.4	110.9	109.0
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	103.0	106.0	103.7	107.7	107.0	105.5
	(N2) 100	109.0	113.3	112.3	117.7	115.0	113.5
	(N3) 120	111.7	114.3	113.0	121.3	116.7	115.4
	Mean	107.9	111.2	109.7	115.6	112.9	111.4
Main effects	(N1) 80	106.6	112.0	109.9	118.2	115.2	112.4
	(N2) 100	104.6	109.4	106.8	113.4	110.9	109.0
	(N3) 120	107.9	111.2	109.7	115.6	112.9	111.5
	Mean	106.4	110.9	108.8	115.7	113.0	
2021 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	98.9	110.3	107.1	114.0	112.8	108.6
	(N2) 100	119.8	122.3	118.2	127.1	124.0	122.3
	(N3) 120	120.1	123.7	119.5	128.2	126.8	123.7
	Mean	112.9	118.8	114.9	123.1	121.2	118.2
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	105.8	109.4	108.8	108.2	107.4	107.9
	(N2) 100	109.9	117.6	113.5	122.1	121.5	116.9
	(N3) 120	113.8	119.7	113.0	123.8	122.8	118.6
	Mean	109.9	115.6	111.8	118.0	117.3	114.5
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	110.3	110.5	110.1	113.4	110.8	111.0
	(N2) 100	113.9	118.5	116.8	121.2	119.8	118.0
	(N3) 120	118.4	117.7	115.6	126.7	123.1	120.3
	Mean	114.2	115.6	114.2	120.4	117.9	116.5
Main effects	(N1) 80	112.9	118.8	114.9	123.1	121.2	118.2
	(N2) 100	109.9	115.6	111.8	118.0	117.3	114.5
	(N3) 120	114.2	115.6	114.2	120.4	117.9	116.5
	Mean	112.3	116.6	113.6	120.5	118.8	
L.S.D at $p=0.05$		2020			2021		
A(Hybrids.)		0.620			0.888		
B (Bio-fert.)		2.146			3.001		
AB		n.s			n.s		
C (Nitrogen)		1.232			1.713		
AC		2.133			2.967		
BC		n.s			n.s		
ABC		n.s			n.s		



### 3.2 Yield traits

#### 3.2.1 Ear length (cm)

The ear length of maize Table (5) was significantly affected by the type of hybrid in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season; however, it recorded insignificant effect in the 1<sup>st</sup> season. H3 SC 3062 gave the longest ear (22.64 cm) as compared with the other hybrids. Mukhtar *et al.* (2012) found that, maximum ear length (22.25 cm) was recorded for YH-1898 which was statistically at par with YH-1850 (22.0 cm), minimum value (20.75 cm) was recorded for Yusafwala hybrid which was statistically similar to FH 793. These results come in the same line with Mohamed (2004), Rahman *et al.* (2007), Abd El-Maksoud and Sarhan (2008), Baqa *et al.* (2014), Mamudu *et al.* (2017), and Adhikari *et al.* (2021). The recorded data in Table (5) showed clearly that inoculation with bio-fertilizers significantly affected ear length in both seasons. Inoculation with Microben bio-fertilizer gave the tallest ear (23.11 and 23.06 cm) in both seasons, respectively, while the shortest ear lengths (20.51 and 21.17 cm) were recorded as a result from control treatment (Bio-0) in both seasons, respectively. The increase percentages in ear length due to inoculation Microben were (12.67 and 8.92%) in both seasons, respectively. Results in Table (5) showed clearly that there was a significant effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on ear length (cm) in 2020 and 2021 seasons. Application nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 120 kg N/feddan gave the tallest ear

length (21.80 and 22.64 cm) in both seasons, respectively. However, N-application at a rate of 80 kg/feddan gave the shortest ear (21.80 and 21.92 cm) in both seasons, respectively. The increase percentage in ear length due to 120 kg N/feddan over 80 kg N/feddan was (3.28 %) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. Similar results were obtained by Badawi *et al.* (2012) who indicated that the highest level of nitrogen fertilizer (130 kg N/feddan) produced the highest values of ear length (cm), in both seasons. Baffoe (2014) and Sharifi and Taghizadeh (2009) had the same conclusion. Results in Table (5) indicated that the interaction between hybrid type and bio-fertilization ( $H \times Bio$ ) was significant for ear length in two seasons. The tallest ear lengths (23.45 and 24.00) were obtained as a result from the interaction between ( $H1 \times Bio3$ ) and ( $H3 \times Bio-3$ ) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Concerning, the 1<sup>st</sup> interaction ( $H3 \times N3$ ), results indicated that fertilization SC 3062 hybrid with 120 kg gave the longest ear length (22.93 and 23.13 cm) in both seasons as compared with other interaction treatments in 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. Ahmed Amal *et al.* (2016) showed clearly that application (120 kg N/feddan and (60 kg N + 10 tons organic matter/feddan) + Azoto + Pseudo) yielded the highest significant value ear length. El-Nagar (2003) had a similar finding. Also, results in Table (5) showed that the interaction between bio-inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer levels ( $Bio \times N$ ) was significant in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and insignificant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. In the 1<sup>st</sup> season, inoculation maize seeds with

Microben (Bio-3) and applied mineral N fertilizer rate of 80 (Bio-3  $\times$  N1) gave the longest ear length (23.11) in the 1<sup>st</sup> season. Meanwhile, differences in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season were not affected. Results in Table (5)

indicated that the 2<sup>nd</sup> order interaction among hybrid type, inoculation with bio-fertilizers and applied nitrogen fertilizer rates (H  $\times$  Bio  $\times$  N) was non-significant on ear length in both seasons.

Table (5): Effect of maize hybrids, bio-fertilizers and N-fertilization rates and their interactions on ear length (cm) in the 2020 and 2021 summer seasons.

Hybrid	N-fertilizer rate	Un-inoculated Bio-0	Biogen Bio-1	Cerialin Bio-2	Microben Bio-3	Nitroben Bio-4	Mean
2020 season							
H1	(N1) 80	19.53	19.97	19.60	21.10	20.40	20.12
(SC168)	(N2) 100	21.30	22.07	22.03	24.53	22.63	22.51
	(N3) 120	21.40	22.17	22.47	24.73	23.07	22.77
Mean		20.74	21.40	21.37	23.45	22.03	21.80
H2	(N1) 80	19.33	19.67	19.67	20.00	20.00	19.73
(SC2066)	(N2) 100	20.67	22.67	21.67	24.00	23.00	22.40
	(N3) 120	21.00	23.00	22.00	24.33	23.33	22.73
Mean		20.33	21.78	21.11	22.78	22.11	21.62
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	19.33	20.00	19.67	20.00	20.00	19.80
	(N2) 100	21.00	22.67	21.67	24.33	23.67	22.67
	(N3) 120	21.00	23.00	22.00	25.00	23.67	22.93
Mean		20.44	21.89	21.12	23.11	22.45	21.80
Main effects	(N1) 80	20.74	21.40	21.37	23.45	22.03	21.80
	(N2) 100	20.33	21.78	21.11	22.78	22.11	21.62
	(N3) 120	20.44	21.89	21.12	23.11	22.45	21.80
Mean		20.51	21.69	21.20	23.11	22.20	
2021 season							
H1	(N1) 80	19.67	21.34	21.00	21.67	21.67	21.07
(SC168)	(N2) 100	21.17	22.34	22.00	22.50	22.00	22.00
	(N3) 120	21.67	22.67	22.13	23.67	23.33	22.69
Mean		20.83	22.11	21.71	22.62	22.33	21.92
H2	(N1) 80	20.34	21.33	21.00	21.67	21.33	21.13
(SC2066)	(N2) 100	21.33	22.00	22.00	22.50	22.67	22.10
	(N3) 120	21.67	23.00	22.67	23.50	23.00	22.77
Mean		21.11	22.11	21.89	22.56	22.33	22.00
H3	(N1) 80	21.00	22.67	21.67	23.67	22.00	22.20
(SC3062)	(N2) 100	21.33	23.00	22.00	24.00	22.67	22.60
	(N3) 120	22.33	23.33	22.33	24.33	23.33	23.13
Mean		21.55	23.00	22.00	24.00	22.67	22.64
Main effects	(N1) 80	20.83	22.11	21.71	22.62	22.33	21.92
	(N2) 100	21.11	22.11	21.89	22.56	22.33	22.00
	(N3) 120	21.55	23.00	22.00	24.00	22.67	22.64
Mean		21.17	22.41	21.86	23.06	22.45	
L.S.D at $p=0.05$		2020			2021		
A (Hybrids.)		n.s			0.163		
B (Bio-fert.)		0.190			0.249		
AB		0.329			0.431		
C (Nitrogen)		0.155			0.184		
AC		n.s			0.318		
BC		0.347			n.s		
ABC		n.s			n.s		

### 3.2.2 Number of rows/ear

The number of rows/ear were significantly affected by the type of hybrid in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, SC 3062 hybrid gave the highest number of row/ear (15.33 and 15.42) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. On the other hand, SC 168 gave the lowest number of rows/ear (13.81 and 14.05) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Also, SC 3062 hybrid exceeds SC 168 hybrid in number of rows/ear by (11.00 and 9.75%) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Awadalla *et al.* (2018) showed that the maximum number of rows/ear was recorded for white S.C.10, while yellow T.W.368 scored the lowest one. Results in Table (6) showed clearly that there was a significant effect of inoculation with bio-fertilizer on number of rows/ear in 2020 and 2021 seasons. Microben inoculation gave the highest number of rows/ear (15.50 and 15.66) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. On the other hand, un-inoculation gave lowest number of row/ear (14.06 and 14.33) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Also, SC 3062 hybrid exceeds SC 168 hybrid in number of rows/ear by 10.24 and 9.28% in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Hoshang *et al.* (2011) found that inoculation with Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Azospirillum had the highest number of rows per ear (14.5 rows). The obtained data showed clearly that there was a significant effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on number of rows/ear in 2020 and 2021 seasons. Application nitrogen fertilizer at the rate

of 120 kg N/feddan gave the highest number of rows/ear (15.33 and 15.42) as compared with all other nitrogen levels in both seasons, respectively. On the other hand, applied nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 80 kg N/feddan gave the lowest number of rows/ear (13.81 and 14.05) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Increasing mineral N-fertilizer significantly increased number of row/ear by 11.00 and 9.75% in both seasons. Badawi *et al.* (2012) indicated that the highest level of nitrogen fertilizer (130 kg N/fed) produced the highest values of number of rows/ear in both seasons number of ears/plant. Results indicate that the interaction effect, between hybrid type and bio-fertilization (H X Bio) was significant on number of rows/ear in two seasons. SC 3062 hybrid inoculated with Microben gave the highest number of row/ear (15.96 and 16.10) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. While the lowest number of rows/ear (13.46 and 13.80) were registered for SC 168 without any bio-fertilizer. Treating SC 3062 hybrid with Microben surpassed untreated SC 168 hybrid. So far, the SC 3062 hybrid with 120 kg (H3 × N3) gave the highest number of rows/ear by (15.90 and 16.01) in both seasons as compared with other interaction treatments in 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. El-Nagar (2003) reported that mineral nitrogen up to 130 kg N/fad., to significant increase in number of rows/ear compared with other nitrogen rates (60 and 100 kg N/feddan). Also, results in Table 6 show that the significant interaction between bio-

fertilizer and nitrogen fertilizer levels (Bio  $\times$  N) in both seasons. Incubation maize hybrids with Microben with combined fertilization of N at a rate of 120 kg/feddan, gave the highest number of rows/ear. Ahmed Amal *et al.* (2016) showed clearly that application (120 kg N/feddan and (60 kg N +10 tons organic matter/feddan) + Azoto + Pseudo) yielded the highest significant value from number of rows/ear. Results

recorded in Table (6) indicated that the 2<sup>nd</sup> order interaction (H  $\times$  Bio  $\times$  N) among hybrid type, inoculation with bio-fertilizers and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on number of rows/ear by in both seasons. Also, inoculation hybrid SC 3062 with Microben combined with 120 kg N /feddan, recorded the maximum no of rows/ear (16.86 and 16.91) in both seasons, respectively.

Table (6): Effect of maize hybrids, bio-fertilizers and N-fertilization rates and their interactions on no. of rows/ear in the 2020 and 2021 summer seasons.

Hybrid	N-fertilizer rate	Un-inoculated Bio-0	Biogen Bio-1	Cerialin Bio-2	Microben Bio-3	Nitroben Bio-4	Mean
2020 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	12.06	12.21	12.26	12.67	12.23	12.29
	(N2) 100	14.13	14.36	14.21	14.67	14.38	14.35
	(N3) 120	14.18	14.52	14.35	16.31	14.62	14.80
	Mean	13.46	13.70	13.61	14.55	13.74	13.81
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	14.33	14.13	14.42	14.41	14.32	14.32
	(N2) 100	14.21	16.21	14.38	16.71	16.33	15.57
	(N3) 120	14.36	16.37	14.61	16.82	16.64	15.76
	Mean	14.30	15.57	14.47	15.98	15.76	15.22
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	14.33	14.32	14.16	14.42	14.42	14.33
	(N2) 100	14.41	16.51	14.58	16.67	16.62	15.76
	(N3) 120	14.53	16.67	14.71	16.86	16.72	15.90
	Mean	14.42	15.83	14.48	15.98	15.92	15.33
Main effects	(N1) 80	13.46	13.70	13.61	14.55	13.74	13.81
	(N2) 100	14.30	15.57	14.47	15.98	15.76	15.22
	(N3) 120	14.42	15.83	14.48	15.98	15.92	15.33
	Mean	14.06	15.03	14.19	15.50	15.14	
2021 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	12.67	12.76	12.31	12.82	12.26	12.56
	(N2) 100	14.32	14.63	14.53	14.85	14.32	14.53
	(N3) 120	14.41	14.72	14.68	16.67	14.72	15.04
	Mean	13.80	14.04	13.84	14.78	13.77	14.05
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	14.36	14.33	14.52	14.63	14.42	14.45
	(N2) 100	14.67	16.33	14.67	16.67	16.52	15.77
	(N3) 120	14.76	16.67	14.86	16.96	16.67	15.98
	Mean	14.60	15.78	14.68	16.09	15.87	15.40
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	14.41	14.36	14.26	14.63	14.53	14.44
	(N2) 100	14.63	16.33	14.67	16.76	16.67	15.81
	(N3) 120	14.72	16.72	14.86	16.91	16.83	16.01
	Mean	14.59	15.80	14.60	16.10	16.01	15.42
Main effects	(N1) 80	13.80	14.04	13.84	14.78	13.77	14.05
	(N2) 100	14.60	15.78	14.68	16.09	15.87	15.40
	(N3) 120	14.59	15.80	14.60	16.10	16.01	15.42
	Mean	14.33	15.21	14.37	15.66	15.22	
L.S.D at $p=0.05$		2020			2021		
A(Hybrids.)		0.148			0.149		
B (Bio-fert.)		0.184			0.185		
AB		0.320			0.320		
C (Nitrogen)		0.148			0.148		
AC		0.257			0.257		
BC		0.331			0.332		
ABC		0.574			0.574		

### 3.2.3 Grain yield (ton/feddan)

The grain yield (ton/feddan) of maize as affected by hybrids, bio-inoculation, nitrogen fertilizer rates and their interaction effects in 2020 and 2021 seasons are presented in Table (7). The differences in grain yield/ feddan, among maize hybrids have been found significant. The grain yield/feddan, was significantly affected by the type of hybrid in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, SC 3062 hybrid gave the maximum grain yield/feddan, (3.034 and 3.308 ton/feddan) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. On the other hand, SC 168 hybrid gave minimum grain yield/feddan, (2.378 and 2.614 ton/feddan) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Also, SC 3062 hybrid exceeds SC 168 hybrid in grain yield/feddan, by 27.58 and 26.54% in the 1<sup>st</sup> season. The variation in grain yield/feddan could be partially attributed to the good climatic conditions recorded throughout growing as well as the maximum yield parameters. Njodi *et al.* (2019) showed that Sammaz 15 gave the highest values of growth and yield parameters compared with the others. This is in agreement with those reported by Hoshang *et al.* (2011), and Baqa *et al.* (2014). Results in Table (7) showed clearly that the grain yield/feddan, were significantly affected by the type of bio-inoculation in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, Microben inoculation gave the highest grain yield/feddan, (3,300 and 3.510 ton/feddan) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. On the other hand, un-

inoculated seed maize gave lowest grain yield/feddan, (2.290 and 2.570 ton/feddan) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Also, SC 3062 hybrid exceeds SC 168 hybrid in grain yield/feddan, by 44.10 and 36.57% in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. The same trend was reported by El-Kholy *et al.* (2005). Hoshang *et al.* (2011) found that inoculation with *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and *Azospirillum* had the highest grain yield (10190 kg/ha). Results in Table (7) showed clearly that there was a significant effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on grain yield/feddan, in 2020 and 2021 seasons. Application nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 120 kg N/feddan gave the highest grain yield/feddan, (3.080 and 3.308 ton/feddan) as compared with all other nitrogen levels in both seasons, respectively. On the other hand, applied nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 80 kg N/feddan gave the lowest grain yield/feddan, (2.378 and 2.612) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. Increasing mineral N-fertilizer significantly increased grain yield/feddan, by 29.52 and 26.64% in both seasons. Mamudu *et al.* (2017) found that increasing N-fertilization rates significantly increased grain yield/feddan. These results are in agreement with Sharifi and Taghizadeh (2009), Badawi *et al.* (2012), Kandil (2013), Modhej *et al.* (2014), Yasin (2016), and Awadalla *et al.* (2018). The recorded data in Table (7) indicate that the interaction between hybrid type and bio-inoculation ( $H \times Bio$ ) was significant on grain yield/feddan, in two seasons. SC 3062 hybrid inoculated with Microben gave the highest grain

yield/feddan, (3.570 and 3.820 ton/feddan) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

Table (7): Effect of maize hybrids, bio-fertilizers and N-fertilization rates and their interactions on grain yield (ton/feddan) in the 2020 and 2021 summer seasons.

Hybrid	N-fertilizer rate	Un-inoculated Bio-0	Biogen Bio-1	Cerialin Bio-2	Microben Bio-3	Nitroben Bio-4	Mean
2020 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	1.333	1.572	1.422	1.779	1.721	1.565
	(N2) 100	2.240	2.532	2.476	3.454	3.107	2.762
	(N3) 120	2.311	2.607	2.506	3.515	3.101	2.808
	Mean	1.960	2.240	2.130	2.920	2.640	2.378
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	1.802	1.960	1.896	2.371	2.165	2.039
	(N2) 100	2.652	3.559	3.150	3.874	3.706	3.388
	(N3) 120	2.768	3.618	3.309	4.002	3.723	3.484
	Mean	2.410	3.050	2.790	3.420	3.200	2.974
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	1.834	2.192	2.089	2.430	3.047	2.318
	(N2) 100	2.687	3.585	3.238	4.107	3.017	3.327
	(N3) 120	2.999	3.670	3.378	4.184	3.061	3.458
	Mean	2.510	3.150	2.900	3.570	3.040	3.034
Main effects	(N1) 80	.961	2.237	2.135	2.916	2.643	2.378
	(N2) 100	2.407	3.046	2.785	3.416	3.198	2.970
	(N3) 120	2.507	3.149	2.902	3.574	3.266	3.080
	Mean	2.290	2.810	2.610	3.300	2.980	
2021 season							
H1 (SC168)	(N1) 80	1.518	1.838	1.713	1.992	1.950	1.802
	(N2) 100	2.482	2.865	2.711	3.619	3.246	2.985
	(N3) 120	2.564	2.911	2.792	3.665	3.315	3.049
	Mean	2.190	2.540	2.410	3.090	2.840	2.614
H2 (SC2066)	(N1) 80	2.067	2.253	2.199	2.607	2.349	2.295
	(N2) 100	2.965	3.701	3.370	4.113	3.899	3.610
	(N3) 120	3.117	3.803	3.501	4.186	3.951	3.712
	Mean	2.720	3.250	3.020	3.640	3.400	3.206
H3 (SC3062)	(N1) 80	2.162	2.390	2.300	2.660	2.439	2.390
	(N2) 100	3.069	3.761	3.456	4.301	3.998	3.717
	(N3) 120	3.147	3.870	3.548	4.485	4.042	3.818
	Mean	2.790	3.340	3.100	3.820	3.490	3.308
Main effects	(N1) 80	2.188	2.538	2.405	3.092	2.837	2.612
	(N2) 100	2.716	3.252	3.023	3.635	3.400	3.205
	(N3) 120	2.792	3.340	3.101	3.815	3.493	3.308
	Mean	2.570	3.040	2.840	3.510	3.240	
L.S.D at $p=0.05$		2020			2021		
A(Hybrids.)		0.051			0.054		
B (Bio-fert.)		0.042			0.045		
AB		0.073			0.078		
C (Nitrogen)		0.030			0.031		
AC		0.052			0.054		
BC		0.068			0.070		
ABC		0.117			0.122		

On the other hand, the minimum values of grain yield/feddan, (1.960 and 2.190 ton/feddan) were obtained due to SC 168 hybrid without bio-fertilization. Also,

treating SC 3062 hybrid with Microben surpassed untreated SC 168 hybrid. So far, the SC3062 hybrid with 120 kg (H3 × N3) gave the highest grain yield/plant by

3.458 and 3.818 ton/feddan in both seasons as compared with other interaction treatments in 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. On the other hand, the minimum values of grain yield/feddan, (1.565 and 1.802 ton/feddan) were obtained due to SC 168 hybrid without bio-fertilization. Also, SC 3062 hybrid exceeds SC 168 hybrid in grain yield/ fed., by (120.95 and 111.87%) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. In this respect, Abd Elhady *et al.* (2017) stated that plants treated with 120 kg MN/feddan + 20 kg HA and 120 kg MN/feddan + 15 kg HA found that Maximum grain yield (3.76 ton/feddan) was obtained when plants were fertilized with 120 kg MN + 20 kg HA. Modhej *et al.* (2014) indicated that the maximum grain yield was obtained in DKC 6589 hybrid at 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Increase of nitrogen up to 260 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> led to the significant increase of grain yield of 640 hybrid, but in other hybrids, increase of nitrogen up to more than 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, slightly decreased the grain yield. The optimum amount of nitrogen for Sc.704 hybrid was 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar findings obtained by Meena *et al.* (2013), El-Nagar (2003), and Yasin (2016). Also, the obtained data in Table (7) showed that interaction between bio-inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer levels (Bio × N) was significant in both seasons. Inoculation maize seeds with Microben combined with N-fertilizer at a rate of 120 kg (H3 × N3) gave the highest grain yield/feddan, (3.574 and 3.815 ton/feddan) in both seasons as compared with other interaction treatments in 2020 and 2021

seasons, respectively. On the other hand, the minimum values of grain yield/feddan, (1.961 and 2.188 ton/feddan) were obtained due to the fertilization with N-fertilizer at a rate of 80 kg/feddan, without bio-fertilizer (Bio-0). Also, SC 3062 hybrid exceeds SC 168 hybrid in grain yield/feddan, by 82.25 and 74.36% in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively. Increasing grain yield due to bio-inoculation may be due to yield attribute; plant height, ear height and ear length (El-Kholy *et al.*, 2005). Meena *et al.* (2013) stated that grain yield increased with increasing levels of nitrogen, and maximum grain yield of 4.3 Mg/ha was obtained by use of 150 kg N/ha with FYM at 5 ton/ha and Azotobacter inoculation. Results in Table (7) indicated that the 2<sup>nd</sup> order interaction (H × Bio × N) among hybrid type, bio-inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on grain yield/feddan, in both seasons. Treating SC 3062 hybrid with Microben combined with N-fertilization at a rate of 120 kg gave the highest grain yield/feddan, by 4.184 and 4.485 ton/feddan, in both seasons as compared with other interaction treatments in 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively.

## References

- A.O.A.C. (1995), *Official Methods of Analysis*, 16<sup>th</sup> Edition, Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington DC, USA.
- Abd Elhady, M. A., Fergani, M. A. and

- Eltemsah, M. E. (2017), "Influence of integration between mineral nitrogen and humic acid fertilizers on productivity and nitrogen partitioning dynamic in maize plants", *Egyptian Journal of Agronomy*, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 195–202.
- Abd El-Maksoud, M. F. and Sarhan, A. A. (2008), "Response of some maize hybrids to bio and chemical nitrogen fertilization", *Zagazig Journal of Agriculture Research*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 497–515.
- Adhikari, K., Bhandari, S., Aryal, K., Mahato, M. and Shrestha, J. (2021), "Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties", *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 48–62.
- Ahmed Amal G., Hassanein, M. S., Ahmed, M. A., Zaki Nabila, M. and Mohamed Manal F. (2016), "Response of two yellow maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) to partial replacement of recommended nitrogen fertilizer by organic and bio-fertilizers under Wadi El-Rayyan, El-Fayoum governorate, Egypt, conditions", *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 7(4) 1047-1055.
- Alizadeh A, Majidi, A., Nadian, H., Nourmohammadi, Gh. and Ameriyan, M. R. (2007), "Effect of water stress and different nitrogen rates on phenology, growth and development of corn", *Journal of Agriculture Science Natural Resource*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 153–158.
- Awadalla, H. A., El-Sheref, Gh. F. H. and Abd El-Hafeez, A. M. (2018), "Response of some maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) to NPK fertilization", *Egyptian Journal of Agronomy*, The 15<sup>th</sup> International Conference Crop Science, pp. 61–70.
- Badawi, M. A., Attia, A. N. E., Leilah, A. A. and El-Moursy Rasha S. A. (2012), "Effect of foliar spraying with growth promoters and nitrogen fertilizer levels on growth and yield of maize", *Journal Plant Production*, Vol. 3 No. 12, pp. 3085–3099.
- Baffoe, J. N. (2014), *Effect of different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer on maize grain yield and protein content*, M.Sc Thesis, Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture and Consumer Science, University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, Ghana.
- Baqa, S., Haseeb, A., Ahmed, M., Ahmed, A. and Shahmeer (2014), "Evaluation of growth of different maize varieties in field under the climatic conditions of Peshawar", *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, Vol. 4 No. 7, pp. 22–26.
- Beck, H. E., Zimmermann, N. E., McVicar, T. R., Vergopolan, N., Berg, A. and Wood, E. F. (2018), "Present and future Köppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution", *Scientific data*, Vol. 5, Article ID 180214.
- El-Kholy, M. A., El-Ashry, S. and Gomaa, A. M. (2005), "Bio-



- fertilization of maize crop and its impact on yield and grains nutrient content under low rates of mineral fertilizers", *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 117–121.
- El-Nagar, G. R. (2003), "Integrating of mineral and bio-fixed nitrogen fertilization in maize production under different irrigation regimes", *Assiut Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 53–76.
- Hoshang, N., Soleymanifard, A. and Naseri, R. (2011), "Effect of components and associated traits of maize cultivars", *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environment Science*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 271–277.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973), *Soil chemical analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.
- Kandil, E. E. E. (2013), "Response of some maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) to different levels of nitrogenous fertilization", *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 1902–1908.
- Karasui, A. (2012), "Effect of nitrogen levels on grain yield and some attributes of some hybrid maize cultivars (*Zea mays indentata* Sturt.) grown for silage as second crop", *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 42–48.
- Klute, A. (1986), *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 1 Physical and Mineralogical Methods*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, American Society of Agronomy, Inc. Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Li, J., Xie, R., Wang, K., Hou, P., Ming, B., Zhang, G., Liu, G., Wu, M., Yang, Z. and Li, S. (2018), "Response of canopy structure, light interception and grain yield to plant density in maize", *Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 156, pp. 785–794.
- Majnoon, H. N. (2006), "Cereals agronomy (wheat, barley, rice, *Zea mays*) growth and productivity of maize in relation to preceding crops, mineral and bio-fertilization", *Journal of Biology Science*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 135–145.
- Mamudu, D., Mensah, G. W. K. and Borketey-La, E. B. (2017), "The responses of three maize varieties to four levels of nitrogen in the forest-transitional zone of Ghana", *Journal of Biology Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 14 No. 7, pp. 79–90.
- Meena, M. D., Tiwari, D. D., Chaudhari, S. K., Biswas, D. R., Narjary, B., Meena, A. L., Meena, B. L. and Meena R. B. (2013), "Effect of bio-fertilizer and nutrient levels on yield and nutrient uptake by maize (*Zea mays* L.)", *Annals of Agri Bio Research*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 176–181.
- Modhej, A., Kaihani, A. and Lack, Sh. (2014), "Effect of nitrogen fertilizer

- on grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency in corn (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids under irrigated conditions", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences volume*, Vol. 84 No. 3, pp. 531–536.
- Mohamed, N. A. (2004), "Principal component and response curve analysis of some maize hybrid to different fertilizer level and plant density", *The Bulletin, Faculty of Agriculture-Cairo University*, Vol. 4 No. 55, pp. 531–556.
- Mukhtar, T., Arif, M., Hussain, Sh., Atif, M., Rehman S., and Hussain, Kh. (2012), "Yield and components on maize hybrids as influenced by plant spacing", *Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 50 No. 1, pp. 59–70.
- Nawab, A. and Anjum, M. M. (2017), "Effect of different nitrogen rates on growth, yield and quality of maize", *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*, Vol. 1 No. 6, pp. 107–112.
- Njodi, M., Tounegos, M. D., Babayola, M. and Kashim, H. (2019), "Studies on increased plant population of different varieties of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Yola and Mubi, Adamawa state, Nigeria", *International Journal Research - GRANTHAALAYAH*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 14–36.
- Piper, C. J. (1950), *Soil and Plant Analysis*, International Public Inc., New York, USA.
- Rahman, H., Islam Noor, Khalil, I. H., Durrishahwar and Rafi, A. (2007), "Multiple traits selection in a maize population derived from maize variety Dehqan", *Sarhad Journal Agriculture*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 637–640.
- Shady, M. Sh., Abdel-Mawgoud, A., Hossam, H. A. and Shaban Naglaa, E. A. (2020), "Agricultural policy and corn food security in Egypt", *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 12 No. 22, pp. 31–45.
- Sharifi, R. S. and Reza, T. (2009), "Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars to different levels of nitrogen fertilizer", *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 518–521.
- Steel, R. G. D., Torrie, J. H. and Dicky, D. A. (1997), *Principles and Procedures of Statistics, A Biometrical Approach*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, McGraw Hill, Inc. Book Co., New York, pp. 352–358.
- Turgut, I. (1998), "The effect of nitrogen fertilizations on the yield and yield components of some hybrid corn (*Zea mays indentata* Sturt.) varieties", *Journal of Agricultural Faculty of Bursa Uludag University*, Vol. 14, pp. 137–147.
- USDA (2023), *World Agriculture Production*, Department of Agriculture, United State, Available online on: <https://fas.usda.gov/psdonline/circulars/production.pdf>, accessed on 21 February 2023.
- Wei, H., Zhao, Y., Xie, Y. and Wang, H.

- (2018), "Exploiting SPL genes to improve maize plant architecture tailored for high-density planting", *Journal of Experimental Botany*, Vol. 69 No. 20, pp. 4675–4688.
- Yasin, M. A. T. (2016), "Response of two yellow maize hybrids to irrigation intervals and nitrogen fertilizer levels", *Journal of Plant Production*, Vol. 7 No. 12, pp. 1465–1472.
- Zhao, J., Ren, B., Zhao, B., Liu, P. and Zhang, J. (2022), "Yield of summer maize hybrids with different growth duration determined by light and temperature resource use efficiency from silking to physiological maturity stage", *Frontiers in Plant Science*, Vol. 13, Article ID 992311.